

CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED TRAIN

Program Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement

Sacramento to Bakersfield

BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES TECHNICAL EVALUATION

January 2004

Prepared for:

California High-Speed Rail Authority

U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Railroad Administration



U.S. Department
of Transportation
**Federal
Railroad
Administration**

Sacramento to Bakersfield Biological Resources Technical Evaluation

Prepared by:

**EIP Associates
601 Montgomery Street, Suite 500
San Francisco, CA 94111**

and

**1200 Second Street, Suite 200
Sacramento, CA 95814**

In association with

DMJM+HARRIS

January 2004

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 ALTERNATIVES	2
1.1.1 No-Project Alternative	2
1.1.2 Modal Alternative	4
1.1.3 High Speed Train Alternative	4
2.0 BASELINE/AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT	6
2.1 STUDY AREA	8
2.2 GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF REGIONAL PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND VEGETATION COMMUNITIES	8
2.3 SENSITIVE VEGETATION COMMUNITIES	11
2.4 SENSITIVE PLANT SPECIES (INCLUDING FEDERALLY AND STATE-LISTED AND PROPOSED THREATENED AND ENDANGERED AND CNPS LIST 1 SPECIES)	13
2.5 SENSITIVE WILDLIFE (INCL. FEDERALLY AND STATE-LISTED AND PROPOSED THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES)	25
2.5.1 Invertebrates	25
2.5.2 Fish	26
2.5.3 Reptiles and Amphibians	29
2.5.4 Birds	31
2.5.5 Mammals	33
2.5.6 Wildlife Movement/Migration Corridors	35
2.6 JURISDICTIONAL WATERS AND WETLANDS	35
2.6.1 Linear Features	36
2.6.2 Freshwater Marsh	36
2.6.3 Vernal Pool	37
2.6.4 Vernal Swale	37
2.6.5 Vernal Pool / Swale Complex	37
2.6.6 Riparian Habitat	37
3.0 EVALUATION METHOD	38
4.0 IMPACTS TO BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES AND JURISDICTIONAL WATERS	39
4.1 NO-PROJECT ALTERNATIVE	39
4.2 MODAL ALTERNATIVE	40
4.2.1 Sacramento to Stockton Corridor	40
4.2.2 Stockton to Modesto Corridor	53
4.2.3 Modesto to Merced Corridor	53
4.2.4 Modesto to Fresno Corridor	53
4.2.5 Fresno to Tulare Corridor	53
4.2.6 Tulare to Bakersfield Corridor	53
4.3 HIGH-SPEED TRAIN ALTERNATIVE	54
4.3.1 Sacramento to Stockton Corridor	54
4.3.2 Stockton to Modesto Corridor	54
4.3.3 Modesto to Merced Corridor	55
4.3.4 Merced to Fresno Corridor	55
4.3.5 Fresno to Tulare Corridor	55
4.3.6 Tulare to Bakersfield Corridor	55
5.0 REFERENCES	57

6.0 PREPARERS 60

APPENDICES

A. Corridor and Design Options for High Speed Train Alternative

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1	NO-PROJECT ALTERNATIVE - CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM	3
FIGURE 2	MODAL ALTERNATIVE - HIGHWAY COMPONENT	5
FIGURE 3	MODAL ALTERNATIVE - AVIATION COMPONENT	6
FIGURE 4	HIGH-SPEED TRAIN ALTERNATIVE – CORRIDORS AND STATIONS FOR CONTINUED INVESTIGATION.....	7
FIGURE 5	SACRAMENTO TO BAKERSFIELD REGION VEGETATION COMMUNITIES	9
FIGURE 6	SACRAMENTO TO BAKERSFIELD REGION SENSITIVE SPECIES OCCURRENCES	10

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2	SACRAMENTO TO BAKERSFIELD REGION VEGETATION COMMUNITY IMPACTS (ACRES)	41
TABLE 3	SACRAMENTO TO BAKERSFIELD REGION SENSITIVE SPECIES AND HABITAT IMPACTS (OCCURRENCES)	44
TABLE 4	SACRAMENTO TO BAKERSFIELD REGION WETLAND IMPACTS (ACRES).....	47
TABLE 5	SACRAMENTO TO BAKERSFIELD REGION WETLAND IMPACTS (METERS)	50

ACRONYMS

AUTHORITY	CALIFORNIA HIGH-SPEED RAIL AUTHORITY
BNSF	BURLINGTON NORTHERN AND SANTA FE
CCT	CENTRAL CALIFORNIA TRACTION
CORPS	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
CDFG	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FISH AND GAME
CEQA	CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT
CFR	CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS
CNPS	CALIFORNIA NATIVE PLANT SOCIETY
CNDDB	CALIFORNIA NATURAL DIVERSITY DATABASE
CWA	CLEAN WATER ACT OF 1972
EIR	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
EIS	ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
EPA	U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
FAA	FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION
FHWA	FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION
FRA	FEDERAL RAILROAD ADMINISTRATION
FTA	FEDERAL TRANSIT ADMINISTRATION
HCP	HABITAT CONSERVATION PLAN
HST	HIGH-SPEED TRAIN
NCCP	NATURAL COMMUNITY CONSERVATION PLAN
NEPA	NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT
NWI	NATIONAL WETLAND INVENTORY
RTP	REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN
SR	STATE ROUTE
STIP	STATE TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PLAN
T&E	THREATENED AND ENDANGERED
UP, UPRR	UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD
USACE	U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
USFWS	U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE
USGS	U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The California High-Speed Rail Authority (Authority) was created by the Legislature in 1996 to develop a plan for the construction, operation, and financing of a statewide, intercity high-speed passenger train system.¹ After completing a number of initial studies over the past six years to assess the feasibility of a high-speed train system in California and to evaluate the potential ridership for a variety of alternative corridors and station areas, the Authority recommended the evaluation of a proposed high-speed train system as the logical next step in the development of California's transportation infrastructure. The Authority does not have responsibility for other intercity transportation systems or facilities, such as expanded highways, or improvements to airports or passenger rail or transit used for intercity trips.

The Authority adopted a *Final Business Plan* in June 2000, which reviewed the economic feasibility of a 1,127-kilometer-long (700-mile-long) high-speed train system. This system would be capable of speeds in excess of 321.8 kilometers per hour (200 miles per hour [mph]) on a dedicated, fully grade-separated track with state-of-the-art safety, signaling, and automated train control systems. The system described would connect and serve the major metropolitan areas of California, extending from Sacramento and the San Francisco Bay Area, through the Central Valley, to Los Angeles and San Diego. The high-speed train system is projected to carry a minimum of 42 million passengers annually (32 million intercity trips and 10 million commuter trips) by the year 2020.

Following the adoption of the Business Plan, the appropriate next step for the Authority to take in the pursuit of a high-speed train system is to satisfy the environmental review process required by federal and state laws which will in turn enable public agencies to select and approve a high speed rail system, define mitigation strategies, obtain necessary approvals, and obtain financial assistance necessary to implement a high speed rail system. For example, the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) may be requested by the Authority to issue a *Rule of Particular Applicability*, which establishes safety standards for the high-speed train system for speeds over 200 mph, and for the potential shared use of rail corridors.

The Authority is both the project sponsor and the lead agency for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requirements. The Authority has determined that a Program Environmental Impact Report (EIR) is the appropriate CEQA document for the project at this conceptual stage of planning and decision-making, which would include selecting a preferred corridor and station locations for future right-of-way preservation and identifying potential phasing options. No permits are being sought for this phase of environmental review. Later stages of project development would include project-specific detailed environmental documents to assess the impacts of the alternative alignments and stations in those segments of the system that are ready for implementation.

The decisions of federal agencies, particularly the Federal Railroad Administration (FRA) related to high-speed train systems, would constitute major federal actions regarding environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). NEPA requires federal agencies to prepare an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) if the proposed action has the potential to cause significant environmental impacts. The proposed action in California warrants the preparation of a Tier 1 Program-level EIS under NEPA, due to the nature and scope of the comprehensive high-speed train system proposed by the Authority, the need to narrow the range of alternatives, and the need to protect/preserve right-of-way in the future. FRA is the federal lead agency for the preparation of the Program EIS, and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the U.S. Corps of Engineers (USACE), the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) are cooperating federal agencies for the EIS.

¹ Chapter 796 of the Statutes of 1996; SB 1420, Kopp and Costa

A combined Program EIR/EIS is to be prepared under the supervision and direction of the FRA and the Authority in conjunction with the federal cooperating agencies. It is intended that other federal, state, regional, and local agencies will use the Program EIR/EIS in reviewing the proposed program and developing feasible and practicable programmatic mitigation strategies and analysis expectations for the Tier 2 detailed environmental review process which would be expected to follow any approval of a high speed train system.

The statewide high-speed train system has been divided into five regions for study: Bay Area-Merced, Sacramento-Bakersfield, Bakersfield-Los Angeles, Los Angeles-San Diego via the Inland Empire, and Los Angeles-Orange County-San Diego. This Biological Resources Technical Evaluation for the Sacramento to Bakersfield region is one of five such reports being prepared for each of the regions on the topic, and it is one of fifteen technical reports for this region. This report will be summarized in the Program EIR/EIS and it will be part of the administrative record supporting the environmental review of alternatives.

1.1 ALTERNATIVES

1.1.1 No-Project Alternative

The No-Project Alternative serves as the baseline for the comparison of Modal and High-Speed Train alternatives (Figure 1). The No-Project Alternative represents the state's transportation system (highway, air, and conventional rail) as it existed in 1999-2000 and as it would be after implementation of programs or projects currently programmed for implementation and projects that are expected to be funded by 2020. The No-Project Alternative addresses the geographic area serving the same intercity travel market as the proposed high-speed train (generally from Sacramento and the San Francisco Bay Area, through the Central Valley, to Los Angeles and San Diego). The No-Project Alternative satisfies the statutory requirements under CEQA and NEPA for an alternative that does not include any new action or project beyond what is already committed.

The No-Project Alternative defines the existing and future statewide intercity transportation system based on programmed and funded (already in funded programs/financially constrained plans) improvements to the intercity transportation system through 2020, according to the following sources of information:

- State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP)
- Regional Transportation Plans (RTPs) for all modes of travel
- Airport plans
- Intercity passenger rail plans (California Rail Plan 2001-2010, Amtrak Five- and Twenty-year Plans)

As with all of the alternatives, the No-Project Alternative will be assessed against the purpose and need topics/objectives for congestion, safety, air pollution, reliability, and travel times.

Figure 1



1.1.2 Modal Alternative

There are currently only three main options for intercity travel between the major urban areas of San Diego, Los Angeles, the Central Valley, San Jose, Oakland/San Francisco, and Sacramento: vehicles on the interstate highway system and state highways, commercial airlines serving airports between San Diego and Sacramento and the Bay Area, and conventional passenger trains (Amtrak) on freight and/or commuter rail tracks. The Modal/System Alternative consists of expansion of highways, airports, and intercity and commuter rail systems serving the markets identified for the High-Speed Train Alternative (Figures 2 and 3). The Modal Alternative uses the same inter-city travel demand (not capacity) assumed under the high-end sensitivity analysis completed for the high-speed train ridership in 2020. This same travel demand is assigned to the highways and airports and passenger rail described under the No-Project Alternative, and the additional improvements or expansion of facilities is assumed to meet the demand, regardless of funding potential and without high-speed train service as part of the system.

For analysis purposes and to facilitate comparison with other alternatives, the Modal Alternative has been broken into the following six segments: Sacramento to Stockton, Stockton to Modesto, Modesto to Merced, Merced to Fresno, Fresno to Tulare, and Tulare to Bakersfield.

1.1.3 High Speed Train Alternative

The Authority has defined a statewide high speed train (HST) system capable of speeds in excess of 200 miles per hour (mph) (320 kilometers per hour [km/h]) on dedicated, fully grade-separated tracks, with state-of-the-art safety, signaling, and automated train control systems. State of the art high speed steel-wheel-on-steel-rail technology is being considered for the system that would serve the major metropolitan centers of California, extending from Sacramento and the San Francisco Bay Area, through the Central Valley, to Los Angeles and San Diego (Figure 4).

The High-Speed Train Alternative includes several corridor and station options. A steel-wheel on steel-rail, electrified train, primarily on exclusive right-of-way with small portions of the route on shared track with other rail is planned. Conventional "non-electric" improvements are also being considered along the existing LOSSAN rail corridor from Los Angeles to San Diego. The train track would be either at-grade, in an open trench or tunnel, or on an elevated guideway, depending on terrain and physical constraints.

For purposes of comparative analysis, the HST corridors are described from station-to-station within each region, except where a by-pass option is considered when the point of departure from the corridor defines the end of the corridor segment. The Sacramento to Bakersfield region has been divided into six corridors: Corridor A runs generally from Sacramento to Stockton; Corridor B, from Stockton to Modesto; Corridor C, from Modesto to Merced; Corridor D, from Merced to Fresno; Corridor E, from Fresno to Tulare; and Corridor F, from Tulare to Bakersfield. Within any given corridor, various alignment options have been developed. Each alignment option is named with an alpha-numeric designation: The letter corresponds to the corridor, and the number refers to a specific route within that corridor. The corridors and alignment routes for HST for this region are defined and presented in Appendix A.

Figure 2

